

99-200

From: Alexander Lehnen
To: Mike Powell
Date: 3/10/03 6:43PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

ORIGINAL

MAR 21 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Michael Powell

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change-- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There **is** an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Alexander Lehnen
7861 Woodrow Wilson Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90046

0

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

99-200

From: Steve Barnes
To: Mike Powell
Date: 3/9/03 9:52PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MAR 21 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Steve Barnes
1536 W. 25th ST.
PMB 106
San Pedro, CA 90732

0

99-207

From: Silvia Khachatourian
To: Mike Powell
Date: 3/9/03 8:16PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MAR 21 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California. and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely

Silvia Khachatourian
13627 Sherman Way
109
Van Nuys, CA 91405

0

99-200

From: Karen Henderson
To: Mike Powell
Date: 3/9/03 3:30PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

PARTIAL FILED

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MAR 21 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. One week worth of work at least.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing. and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Karen Henderson
1835 West 145th Street
Unit #1
Gardena. CA 90249

Q

99-200

From: Jim Roberts
To: Mike Powell
Date: 3/9/03 3:30PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

MAR 21 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

ORIGINAL

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change-- all at my own expense.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits **just** to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Jim Roberts
13246 Prairestone Dr
Corona, CA 92883

99 200

From: Melanie Allaire
Date: ~~March 20, 2003~~
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MAR 21 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Michael Powell

As a wireless phone customer I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Melanie Allaire
8563 Kaiser Ave.
Fontana, CA 92335

0